Software

T.Hara (Osaka)



In order to make a strategy

- Purpose
 - Framework/Computing/Network connects each other
 Problems in Simulation/PID/Tracking, etc...
- So far, each category has been proceeded indivisually In order to make a strategy
 - We need to know the current problems
 - Categorize these problems and set the priority

experts in Belle

Categories

- Computing/Network (Katayama)
- Framework (Itoh)
- Database (Uehara, Adachi + Karim)
- 🕨 G4 (Hara)
- Fsim (Nakao)
- Tracking (Ozaki)
- PID (Nishida)
- from Detector side (Ushiroda)

Computing : Object Persistency

N.Katayama

- Problems of "Panther" "Panther" is the Belle bank system
 - Contents in the tables can not be modified later
 → the backward compatibility will be lost...
 - Data size

"double" can not be saved "string" is devided in four-word blocks

- "Object" can not be saved e.g. particle class
- → in other words, these are the requirements e.g. ROOT I/O ?

Computing : Data management

Data storage

- 10PB will be needed
- What storage is suitable ?

tape? semiconductor-memory ?
computing system can be replaced later ?

Data distribution + File system

- many files (raw data, mdst, index, skim,...)
- How to store and book these files ?
- How to distribute ?
- How to produce DST/MC ?

N.Katayama

Computing : Computing system

- What kind of Computing system is proper ?
 - Current Belle



KEK (4x10⁴ CPUs, 1PB disk, ~3.5PB tapes) [SPEC Cint 2000 rate] other institutes (MC prod., analyses,...) GRID

Cloud Computing ?



(Internet-base development/use of software/data) Database can be treated properly ? too new (is it available by the Super Belle) ?

Other technologies ?

N.Katayama

Database

S.Uehara

e.g. Oracle?

multi-server database?

for detector calibration : PntDB (interface between Postgres and Panther)

- No problem for the larger amount of data (speed \downarrow)
- Panther has to be kept

for data files / run record management : Postgres

- No problem. but there is a room to consider others
- Multi-server database can be used ?

► for slow monitor : DAQOM

- Panther has to be kept
- Only Uehara san can manage this

Specification of Database should be decided as well as that of Object Persistency

Software Framework **R.Itoh Current problems with BASF** No object persistency Can not read/write "objects" from/to files using Panther The interface for interactive user analysis is obsolete "HBOOK4"+CLHEP (for PAW/dis45) Recent HEP community: "ROOT" is the de-facto standard Complicated parallel processing framework Different implementations in SMP and network cluster Lack of input/output file management

- No integrated database management
- No integrated GRID interface (ad-hoc interface exists, though)

Software Framework

R.Itoh

Requirements for the new Framework

- Software bus (pipeline) is kept
 - Compatibility with modules written for BASF

Object persistency

- ROOT I/O as the persistency core
- Panther I/O is kept as a legacy interface

More versatile parallel/pipeline processing scheme

- Transparent implementation which utilizes both SMP CPUs and network clusters
- Dynamic optimization of resource allocation
- Module pipelining over network
- Integrated database/GRID interface

for file management Dynbamic customization of framework

replaceable I/O package, user interface ...

Software Framework

Why do we stick

to our own framework ?
 other candidate (e.g. GAUDI) is too complicated

- GAUDI has designed to have high functional capability and flexibility, but it is heavy ...
- GAUDI itself has no parallel processing

using the GRID batch system

for the parallel process.

Compatibility to the current Belle software is needed

- Legacy interface is needed (Panther, HBOOK, ...)
- Keep developing the technique
 - Dynamic resource sharing
 - Parallel pipelining

R.Itoh

Software Framework

One plan

Prototype called "roobasf" with

- BASF's module driver
- ROOT I/O based object persustency
- Event-by-event parallel processing capability on multi-core CPUs (SMP)
- Histogram/N-tuple management with ROOT
- Legacy interface : Panther I/O and HBOOK4
 - → Complete development by the time of CHEP'09

(~Mar. 20, 2009)

R.Itoh

Software Framework

Discussion items

- Object persistency core
 - ROOT I/O : as a default
 - BOOST product ?
- Integrated GRID/database interface
 - Catalog level management of input/output files?

→ POOL, xrootd, ...

• Parallel processing on GRID ? \rightarrow GRID-MPI, ...

Data handling : how to manage objects in modules

Object persistency should be decided first. the requirement for the framework should be clarified.

R.Itoh

Simulation

Fsim (=fast simulator) for Super Belle

- Tuning for the helix parameters is incompleted
- Tuning for the PID efficiency is also incompleted c.f. Tuning for Belle has been completed well
- relative difference between Belle and Super Belle can be used. Is this useful for Super Belle ?
- it is better to use G4, instead of fsim ?
- still useful for rough estimation of the physics ?

→ unfortunately nobody will work on this.

M.Nakao

Simulation

T.Hara

g4superb (=GEANT4-based det. sim.)

- Problems of current "gsim"
 - GEANT3-based simulation
 GEANT3 will be extinct.
 - no extensibility (patched for many versions of SVD)

→ we have to move to GEANT4-based simulation
 ▶ Problems of "g4"

- slow (→ problem of "rectop" ?
- no g4 expert
- compatibility between g4 and framework
- Geometry database is needed or not ?
- IR/SVD/(PXD) design has not been decided ye

PID

TOP/A-RICH need to develop reconstruction soft

- first version by Inami-san/Pestotnik-san
- need study for better performance
- hardware design is not determined
- ideally we shoud make a feed-back to hardware design, ...
- man power

▶ dE/dx, eID, µID

atc_pid itself shoud work with minor modification

- unified package for KID, eID, μ ID
- better interface with systematic tables

S.Nishida

Tracking

6

H.Ozaki

(I/w)

for TDR (short term)

strategy : make use of the current Belle tools

TO determination

for Belle : using L4 fast tracking + TOF info. for Super Belle : no TOF anymore ...

CDC tracker (in trasan)

usable with g4 simulation data.

track fitter (in trak)

now under checking

- SVD standalone track-finder (in trak) not work at present
- VOfinder : Ks reconstruction

depends on trak

another tracking from Alexei http://kds.kek.ip/getFile.py/access?contribld=15&sessionId=5&resId=0&materialId=slides

Tracking

H.Ozaki

for Super Belle (long term : three-year project) strategy : make PXD+SVD+CDC track finder from scratch separate Tracking modules in Belle track finder in trasan, filtering in trak it is better to use all available information in PXD/SVD/CDC \rightarrow PXD+SVD+CDC track finder is needed esp. for low-momentum tracking is important But well-designed classes are required. Katayama san activated the tracking meeting.

Dec. 19 (Fri) 13:30- @325

Summary

Computing

Object persistency (ROOT I/O or others) Computing system

- Data storage
- Batch job system

Database Framework Simulation PID

Tracking

- Cloud computing or other possible technologies ? No problem at this moment **Object persistency** roobasf or other frame (ILC?) (completed in Mar.'09) termination of Fsim update... improvement of G4 study for better performance make use of the current Belle tools (short term)
- make new tracking tools (long term)

Summary



Computing/Network/Software Frame meeting

	Collaboration SuperBelle Computing/Network/Framework session	Thursday 11 December 2008 from 09:00 to 11:00 Asia/Tokyo at 3 gokan 425
		Thursday 11 December 2008
<u> Thurs</u>	day 11 December 2008	<u>top</u> ↑
09:00	د المعندين (۱۵) (۱۵) (۱۵) (۱۵) (۱۵) (۱۵) (۱۵) (۱۵)	T. Hara
09:10	🔏 🖻 🖹 Current GRID system in Belle (20) (🖦 Slides 🛄)	H. Nakazawa
09:30	🔏 🖻 🖻 General intro./Data Farm Activities in KISTI (20) (👞 Slides 🔀)	M.S.Lee
09:50	🔏 🖻 🖻 Computing idea (20) (🍉 Paper 🔁; 👞 Slides 🛄)	M. Sevior
10:10	🖋 🖻 Software Framework (201) (🍉 Slides 🔽)	R. Itoh
10:30	🖋 📾 🖹 Discussion (30')	

Discussion of Computing, Framework
monthly meeting, mailing list
the coordinator of Computing

Please join us !