A Future High Statistics Charm Mixing Experiment using the Tevatron

Alan Schwartz
University of Cincinnati

First Open Meeting of the SuperKEKB Collaboration
KEK, Tsukuba, Japan
11 December 2008

- *quick summary of D^0 meson mixing*
- *a Tevatron experiment: expected D^0 yields*
- *comparison with B factories/LHCb*
- *expected sensitivity to CPV*

D^0 meson mixing I:





off-shell ("virtual") states: Δm



on-shell states: $\Delta\Gamma$

Meson	flavors	$\Delta m/\Gamma$	$\Delta\Gamma/2\Gamma$	mixing observed?
K^0	$\bar{s}d$	0.474	0.997	yes (1958)
B^0	$\bar{b}d$	0.77	< 1%	yes (1987)
B_s^0	$\bar{b}s$	27	0.15±0.07	yes (2006)
D^0	$c\bar{u}$	< 0.029	0.011±0.005	yes (2007)

small because:

- *doubly-Cabibbo-suppressed with respect to Γ_D*
- *GIM mechanism cancellation*

D^0 mixing measurements

- **Wrong-sign semileptonic $D^0(t) \to K^+ l^- \nu$ decays**
  measures x^2+y^2, no DCS contamination
- **Wrong-sign hadronic $D^0(t) \to K^+\pi^-$ decays**
  measures $x' = x\cos\delta + y\sin\delta$, $y' = y\cos\delta - x\sin\delta$,
  where δ is a strong phase difference
- **Decays to *CP* eigenstates: $D^0(t) \to K^+K^-, \pi^+\pi^-$**
  measures $y\cos\phi$, where ϕ is a weak phase difference
- **Wrong-sign hadronic $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-, K^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decays**
  measures x^2+y^2, x'' and y'' with Dalitz plot analysis
- **Dalitz plot analysis of $D^0(t) \to K^0\pi^+\pi^-$ decays**
  measures x, y
- **Quantum correlations in $e^+e^- \to D^0\overline{D}^0(n\pi^0)$, $D^0\overline{D}^0\gamma(n\pi^0)$**
  measures y, $\cos\delta$

FNAL experiment: yield estimate #1

Scale from HERA-B: ***61.3 ± 13 D*-tagged CF $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$ in 182 x 10^6 hadronic interactions. Multiplying this rate by $\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$=0.377% gives a fractional rate (including (loose) trigger + reconstruction efficiencies) of 1.3 x 10^{-9}*** *(Reference: I. Abt et al., Eur. Phys. Jour. C52, 531,2007)*

proton beam,
p = 920 GeV/c





One year of running, assuming 7 MHz interaction rate and trigger efficiency of 50% relative to that of HERA-B:

(7 MHz)(1.4 x 10^7 s)(1.3 x 10^{-9})(0.5) = 64000 D*-tagged $D^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$

192000 in 3 years of running

FNAL experiment: yield estimate #2

Scale from E791: 35 D*-tagged DCS $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ in 5 x 10^{10} hadronic interactions.
This gives a fractional rate (including (loose) trigger + reconstruction efficiencies) of 7 x 10^{-10} *(Reference: E. Aitala et al., PRD 57, 13, 1998)*

CF $D \to K^-\pi$

CF $D \to K^-\pi\pi$

DCS $D \to K^+\pi$

DCS $D \to K^+\pi\pi$

p^- beam,
p = 500 GeV/c



One year of running, assuming 7 MHz interaction rate and the same trigger+reconstruction efficiency as E791:

(7 MHz)(1.4 x 10^7 s)(7 x 10^{-10}) = 69000 D*-tagged $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$

207000 in 3 years of running

Comparison with Belle/Babar

Belle: **4024 D*-tagged DCS $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ in 400 fb^{-1} of data**

(Reference: L. Zhang et al., PRL 96, 151801, 2006)

Babar: **4030 D*-tagged DCS $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ in 384 fb^{-1} of data**

(Reference: B. Aubert et al., PRL 98, 211802 (2007)





Babar has stopped taking data; its final sample is 485 fb^{-1} (at 4S and continuum)
Belle is now at 839 fb^{-1}, will probably take another 100 fb^{-1} at 4S and continuum

⇒ ***total sample is 1420 fb^{-1} or 14600 DCS $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ decays, 8% of the yield of a 3-year Fermilab experiment***

Comparison with LHCb

LHCb: **have used Monte Carlo to study sensitivity to D*-tagged DCS $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ decays**

(Reference: P. Spradlin, G. Wilkinson, F. Xing, et al., LHCb public note LHCb-2007-049)

Assuming σ_{bb} = 500 μb and estimating several trigger and reconstruction efficiciencies, this study concludes that 58000 signal decays will be reconstructed per year (2 fb^{-1} of data)

This is similar to our yield estimate for a Tevatron experiment, BUT:

LHCb must use D*'s produced in B decays, rather than prompt D*'s, as the trigger is efficient only for the former. This introduces two issues:

(a) the decay time distribution will be a mixture of prompt D*'s and D*'s from B decays. These two components will need to be unfolded to measure mixing parameters. (FNAL experiment only has prompt D*'s)

(b) to recontruct the D* vertex position, one must reconstruct a B $\to$ D*X decay. The efficiency for this is estimated to be 51%, and it will add smearing to the D^0 decay time distribution. (FNAL experiment: D* vertex is at the target)

Estimate of sensitivity

Since sample size is very similar, we adopt the results of LHCb Monte Carlo study *(P. Spradlin et al., Note LHCb-2007-049)*:

This study found that statistical errors for mixing parameters corresponding to <u>232500</u> D*-tagged $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ decays, <u>S/B = 0.40,</u> and <u>σ_τ=75 ps</u> are:

$\delta x'^2$	6.4×10^{-5}
$\delta y'$	0.87×10^{-3}

best case after all B factory data analyzed: (1500 fb^{-1})

14×10^{-5}
2.2×10^{-3}

This yield corresponds to 3.6 years of running. One also expects a similar improvement in y_{CP} measured using $D^0 \to K^+K^-$ and $D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ decays:

δy_{CP}	0.65×10^{-3}

Estimate of sensitivity, cont'd

Our own toy MC study

(<u>200000</u> D-tagged $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$ decays, <u>S/B = 0.40,</u> <u>σ_τ=75 ps,</u> <u>$0.5\tau_D$ cut</u>):*





$\delta x'^2 = 5.8 \times 10^{-5}$

$\delta y' = 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$

(similar to LHCb study)

(RMS's of distribution of residuals from 200 toy experiments)

Estimate of sensitivity, cont'd

Global fit: *fit*
24 observables for
8 underlying parameters

$$R_M = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2y_{CP} &= \big(\,|q/p| + |p/q|\,\big) y \cos\phi \;-\; \big(\,|q/p| - |p/q|\,\big) x \sin\phi \\ 2A_\Gamma &= \big(\,|q/p| - |p/q|\,\big) y \cos\phi \;-\; \big(\,|q/p| + |p/q|\,\big) x \sin\phi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{K^0\pi\pi} &= x \\ y_{K^0\pi\pi} &= y \\ |q/p|_{K^0\pi\pi} &= |q/p| \\ \mathrm{Arg}\,(q/p)_{K^0\pi\pi} &= \phi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'' \\ y'' \end{pmatrix}_{K^+\pi^-\pi^0} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\delta_{K\pi\pi} & \sin\delta_{K\pi\pi} \\ -\sin\delta_{K\pi\pi} & \cos\delta_{K\pi\pi} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\delta & \sin\delta \\ -\sin\delta & \cos\delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_M = \frac{|q/p|^2 - |p/q|^2}{|q/p|^2 + |p/q|^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x'^{\pm} &= \left(\frac{1 \pm A_M}{1 \mp A_M}\right)^{1/4} (x' \cos\phi \pm y' \sin\phi) \\ y'^{\pm} &= \left(\frac{1 \pm A_M}{1 \mp A_M}\right)^{1/4} (y' \cos\phi \mp x' \sin\phi) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left[R(D^0 \to K^+\pi^-) + \overline{R}(\overline{D}{}^0 \to K^-\pi^+)\right] = R_D$$

$$\frac{R(D^0 \to K^+\pi^-) - \overline{R}(\overline{D}{}^0 \to K^-\pi^+)}{R(D^0 \to K^+\pi^-) + \overline{R}(\overline{D}{}^0 \to K^-\pi^+)} = A_D$$

Sensitivity to CPV parameters $|q/p|$, ϕ

Global fit: *24 observables, 8 underlying parameters:*

HFAG now:



200000 $D^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ decays (Tevatron):



CPV is manifest as ($|q/p|$, ϕ) $\neq$ (1,0) - this would be new physics

Summary & comments

- Charm mixing now observed, interest switching to CP violation in charm decays.
- Advances since the old Fermilab fix-target experiments make a new experiment much more powerful/sensitive. Trigger concepts and prototypes exist (HERA-B, CDF, BTeV,LHCb); pixels and higher rate detectors now developed.
- Notably better sensitivity than all (10 x 2 = 20 years!) B factory data; notably simpler to analyze than LHCb data.
- Accelerator and beamline essentially available.
- Can significantly improve sensitivity to CPV in the charm system, help un-tangle whatever signals appear at the Tevatron or LHC.



Current Activities

Working group has formed, see:
http://www.nevis.columbia.edu/twiki/bin/view/FutureTev/WebHome

To subscribe to the listserv:
-- Send an e-mail message to listserv@fnal.gov
-- Leave the subject line blank
-- Type SUBSCRIBE Future_Charm_at_Fermilab FIRSTNAME LASTNAME
in the body of your message.

We are now editing a working report
"Possibilities of a Future Tevatron Program"



to outline a future physics program at the Tevatron. Such a program would also include a neutrino experiment (J. Conrad) and possibly a hyperon experiment.

(NOTE: this is not Project X)