KATIE: 3.5

TEVATRON RESULTS B. CASEY, FNAL

10

Pono: 6.1

OUTLINE

- Overall comparison on Tevatron and 4S/5S environments
- Cover selected ${\rm B_s}$ physics results from DØ and CDF
 - Try and point out where measurements can be improved with 5S data (or complement 4S data)
- Topics:
 - B_s lifetime
 - $\Delta\Gamma/\phi_s$
 - Rare decays

TEVATRON VERSUS BELLE

	Belle	Tevatron	
Goal	Dedicated B program	Main purpose is high p_T	
trigger	Inclusive	μ, or displaced vertex (semi-inclusive)	
Hadronic backgrounds	~3:1 ~all B's written to tape	Enormous, very small fraction of produced B's written to tape	
PID	Excellent K/π/μ/e	Excellent μ, OK K/π, poor e, for e from B	
neutrals	Excellent γ , π^0 , η	~none from B	
Boost	~0.5 parallel to silicon, known apriori	~1-2 perpendicular to silicon (sensitivity to Δm_s), unknown apriori	
B _s production	Coherent, no tagging	Incoherent, tagging OK	

If you can do it, you can do it better at Belle

Still many interesting things you can only do now at the Tevatron

З

B. CASEY, BNM 2008

TEVATRON VERSUS BELLE

B. CASEY, BNM 2008

B-factory numbers approximated for 250 fb⁻¹, Tevatron numbers estimated at 1 fb⁻¹

	Belle	CDF	DØ	
$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$	~18k	~18k	~18k	Dimuons about same
$B_s \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$?	~2k	~2k	Tev on top for now
$B_s \rightarrow D_s (\phi \pi) \pi$?	~2k	~50	Vertex versus muon
$B_d \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$	605	882	-	trigger
$B_d \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^-$	205	-	-	h⁺h⁻ ~same but no π⁰s
$B_s \rightarrow K^+ K^-$?	1473		Tev on top for now
$B_s \rightarrow \phi \gamma$	18	-	-	Some things only at 5S

LIFETIME RATIOS

B. CASEY, BNM 2008

Sensitive probe of higher order terms in HQE

	Theory*	Data	What we learned
B+/B _d	1.06 ± 0.02	1.071 ± 0.009	9 1/m _b ³ is important, and can be calculated
Λ_{b}/B_{d}	0.90 ± 0.05	0.90 ± 0.03**	Non-perterbative terms important, and lattice is working
B _s /B _d	1.00 ± 0.01	0.94 ± 0.02***	 Both 1/m_b³ and non- perterbative effects
1: Franco 2: PDC07	et al hep-ph/0203	089	supposed to be small
3: HFAG0	7 (not recent CDF)	Statistics or something else

B_s LIFETIME

B. CASEY, BNM 2008

Complicated by sizeable $\Delta \Gamma = \Gamma_{H} - \Gamma_{L}$



40% reduction in error including FS But also drives discrepancy with B_d

SEMILEPTONIC B_s LIFETIME



Apply boost Reconstruct signal as D_s correction correlated with muon determined from MC 3000 DØ Runll preliminary 0.1 dof = 0.64 0.05 7 1500 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.70.8 0.0 $p_{\gamma}(D(\mu^*) \neq p_{\gamma}(\dot{B}_{\lambda}))$ 1000 Fit for lifetime 500 DØ, 0.4 fb⁻¹ Candidates per 50 10^{3} 2.05 Mass(\$ n) [GeV/c²] 10² ~90% signal, $\gamma^{2}/dof = 1.06$ ~10% peaking backgrounds: 10 B→DsD, direct DsD $\tau_{FS}(B_s) = 1.398 \pm 0.044^{+0.028}_{-0.025} \, ps$ 10-0.3 -0.2 -0.1 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 Pseudo Proper Decay Length (cm)

B. CASEY, BNM 2008

HADRONIC B_s LIFETIME



Data - MC comparison

Data SB sub.

Realistic M.C.

ct [cm]



B. CASEY, BNM 2008

Correct for trigger efficiency

0.1

 $D_s \rightarrow \phi \pi$

∮→KK

0.15

Fit for lifetime

0.15

0.2

0.2

 $B_s \rightarrow D_s \pi$

0.05

0.05

0

-0.05

0.1

0.12

0.14

Data

Global fit

Signal

0.25

0.25

0.3

0.3

0.35

N of B_s : 472 \pm 27

Combinatorial

0.35 ct [cm]

B. CASEY, BNM 2008



B_s lifetime at the 5S

- Less uncertainty associated with high statistics semileptonic modes
 - known boost
 - background samples from 4S
- Tevatron:
 - Hadronic results still stat limited
 - semileptonic can move to direct lifetime ratio measurement to reduce sys.
 - Both cases: Not far from sys. limited
- If there is a ${\sf B}_{\sf s}$ lifetime problem, it needs to be confirmed in a b-factory environment
- we will always want a good ${\sf B}_{\sf s}$ lifetime measurement independent of $J/\psi~\phi$

BS MIXING PARAMETERS

$$|g^{\pm}(t)|^{2} = \frac{e^{-\Gamma t}}{2} \left[\cosh\left(\frac{\Delta\Gamma}{2}t\right) \pm \cos(\Delta m t) \right]$$

$$\Delta m = m_{H} - m_{L} = 2|m_{12}|$$

$$\Delta \Gamma = \Gamma_{L} - \Gamma_{H} = 2|\Gamma_{12}|\cos\phi$$

$$\phi = \arg\left(-\frac{m_{12}}{\Gamma_{12}}\right)$$

$$\Gamma(M \Rightarrow \overline{M}) \neq \Gamma(\overline{M} \Rightarrow M)$$

$$\begin{cases} \psi(m) = \exp\left(-\frac{m_{12}}{\Gamma_{12}}\right) \\ \psi(m) = \exp\left(-\frac{m_{12}}{\Gamma_{12}}\right)$$

B. CASEY, BNM 2008

$\Delta\Gamma$ and CPV in the B_s system



- all measurements are untagged (or time-integrated)
 - Sensitivity to CPV in untagged samples if $\Delta\Gamma \neq 0$
- Everything is $\Delta \Gamma \mathbf{x} \mathbf{f}(\phi_s)$
 - Theory prediction for $\Delta\Gamma$ very important
 - Cant be trusted without $\tau(B_s) / \tau(B_d)$
- D_s^(*)D_s^(*) theory errors uncontrolled
 - Best $\Delta\Gamma$ measurement but not used in constraint

$\Delta\Gamma$ and $D^{(*)}{}_{s}D^{(*)}{}_{s}$



Partial reconstruction more complicated but gives direct access to $\Delta\Gamma_{\rm CP}$

13

B. CASEY, BNM 2008

$\Delta \Gamma$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}}$ K

B. CASEY, BNM 2008



14

Recently proposed by to use lifetime measurement in D_sK to determine sign of strong phases for J/ $\psi \phi$ and remove 2-fold ambiguity

(Nandi, Nierste hep-arXiv:0801.0143)



$\Delta\Gamma$ and Untagged J/ $\psi \phi$





Comparing the two (plus interference terms) allows CPV measurement

B. CASEY, BNM 2008

TAGGED VERSUS UNTAGGED



16

Adding tagging where available increases sensitivity (but $\varepsilon D^2 \sim 5\%$)



More importantly, extra terms partially reduce 4 fold ambiguity to 2 fold ambiguity

B. CASEY, BNM 2008

$\Delta\Gamma/\phi_s$ at the 5S

- Tevatron: 10 publications on $\Delta\Gamma$ and ϕ_s so far, only 1 includes time dependent tagging
 - Combined DØ/CDF: tagged/untagged J/ ψ $\phi,$ A_{S,}, τ_{FS} will be interesting
- If ϕ_s is large:
 - Decreasing ambiguities more important than tagging
 - $BF(D_s^{(*)}D_s^{(*)}), \tau(D_sK)$
- If ϕ_s is large:
 - Tevatron + Belle can discover new physics before LHC
 - At least we need a tie breaker for choosing conventions
- If ϕ_s is small:
 - Precision τ and $\Delta\Gamma$ measurements will help guide theory and extraction of ϕ_s at LHCb

New Physics and Rare decays

 $b \rightarrow s$: Once everyone's best guess for new physics





New Physics and rare decays

 $b \rightarrow s$: Still everyone's best guess for new physics.

But now need to look where we have a chance to see small effects

CPV phases:Interference: $\Delta B = \Delta s = 1: b \rightarrow s\overline{ss},$ $b \rightarrow s|^+|^ \Delta B = \Delta s = 2: \phi_s$

Large SM suppression: $B_s \rightarrow \mu \mu$ $(B^+ \rightarrow \tau \nu)$ $(K \rightarrow \pi \nu \nu)$ (Closely related to b \rightarrow s)

RADIATIVE DECAY: $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{V} \mu \mu$

20

18.5 ± 6.7 K*

B. CASEY, BNM 2008





Should add not-trivial stats for world average A_{FB} in next few years

ANNIHILATION: $B_s \rightarrow \mu \mu$

~most important thing we are doing in the Tevatron B program right now



21

B. CASEY, BNM 2008

$B_s \rightarrow \mu \mu$ past and future

- Step 1 (0.5-1 fb⁻¹):
 - Do we understand $e(\mu\mu)/e(K\mu\mu)$?
 - Not at all trivial since trigger is tight, $p_{\rm T}$ distributions are different, and B $p_{\rm T}$ not well known
 - Can we reduce combinatoric background?
- Step 2 (1-2 fb⁻¹):
 - Multivariate background suppression
 - $B \rightarrow h^{+}h^{-} (CDF)$
- Step 3 (2-4 fb⁻¹):

B. CASEY, BNM 2008

- Smarter pre-selection
- $B \rightarrow h^+h^-$? (CDF and DØ)
- Fake tracks at high lum (DØ)
- Specific cuts to remove B background



$\mathbf{B_s} \rightarrow \mu \mu \text{ VERSUS } \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \tau \tau$

- No serious attempt (yet) at $B_s \rightarrow \tau \tau$ at Tevatron
- At B factories?
 - BaBar limit uses fully reconstructed B data set \rightarrow not interesting (4 x 10⁻³)
 - Can it be done without reconstructing the other B?
 - Look at Belle note 296 for examples of finding back-to-back tau's in hadronic events
 - 4S/5S lum ratio indicates $\rm B_{d}$ decay just as possible as $\rm B_{s}$ decay
- If there is a factor of 10 enhancement, Tevatron $\mu\mu$ + B factory $\tau\tau$ would be very interesting

FUTURE FLAVOR AT FERMILAB

- Many very exciting questions will be difficult to answer at LHC (or at least require very large data sets)
 - How does the higgs couple to fermions?
 - How does TeV scale physics influence flavor?
 - Is there lepton flavor violation at the TeV scale?
 - Leptogenesis?
 - Beyond TeV scale physics?

FUTURE FLAVOR AT FERMILAB

- ~Current accelerator complex:
 - Low mass higgs \rightarrow bb at Tevatron
 - NOvA
 - $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion
- Project X:
 - sensitivity to minimal flavor violation signatures in kaons
 - Next generation $\mu \rightarrow$ e conversion
 - Neutrino CPV
 - Long baseline to DUSEL (proton decay)
 - dedicated fixed target tau/charm

Possibility for a very exciting US accelerator-based program complementing or competing with flavor programs in Asia/Europe



CONCLUSIONS

- Many exciting B_s results from Tevatron and more to come
- Results from the 5S can have a very significant impact, particularly on CPV measurements

– When you think of ϕ_s , think big

 Potential for exciting accelerator based program next decade in US that will complement super B factory and LHC results